

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

The second secon					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,270	10/17/2001	Anders Vinberg	063170.7002	7992	
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 05/04/2007 CS L. L. P.		EXAM	INER	
2001 ROSS AVENUE			YANG, I	YANG, RYAN R	
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2628		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/04/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mike.furr@bakerbotts.com ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/982,270	VINBERG, ANDERS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ryan R. Yang	2628		

,	Cxammer	Art Unit					
	Ryan R. Yang	2628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 March 2007</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	i, will <u>flot</u> be entered t TF helow):	ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		50.011/1					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered necessary				
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Anneal but prior to the	date of filing a brief	will not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13.  Other:							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art rejection is maintained because the disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 08/892,919, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. Examiner considers the present claims are not entitled to the filing date of Application No. 08/892,919 because they are not fully supported by its disclosure. Also, since Application No. 08/892,919 has more invertors than the present application, there is no proof that the present inventor is the inventor of the claimed subject matters..